

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/611,385	07/01/2003	Christopher R. Castellano	4915 1528	
48226 BASF CATAL	7590 05/16/2007 YSTS LLC	EXAMINER		
100 CAMPUS DRIVE FLORHAM PARK, NJ 07932		•	JOHNSON, EDWARD M	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
	•		1754	
	•		MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			05/16/2007	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
	10/611,385	CASTELLANO ET AL.			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Edward M. Johnson	1754			
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the	correspondence address			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATIO 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be ti- rill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONI	N. mely filed in the mailing date of this communication. ED (35 U.S.C. § 133)			
Status					
Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>26 Ja</u> This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ This Since this application is in condition for allowant closed in accordance with the practice under E	action is non-final. nce except for formal matters, pr				
Disposition of Claims					
4) Claim(s) 1-42 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1-42 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or Application Papers 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acceed to the description of the description	r election requirement. r. epted or b) □ objected to by the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. Second is required if the drawing(s) is objected to be the drawing(s).	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). ojected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some colon None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.					
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail D 5) Notice of Informal I 6) Other:	ate			

Application/Control Number: 10/611,385

Art Unit: 1754

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

Applicant's election with traverse of catalysts in the reply filed on 1/26/07 is acknowledged. The traversal is on the ground(s) that the search would be the same. This is not found persuasive because the search would be much broader and also because Applicant appears to urge that the species are patentably distinct.

The requirement is still deemed proper and is therefore made FINAL.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1-42 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Weinberg et al. US 5,959,297.

Regarding claim 1, Weinberg '297 discloses a method for screening diverse arrays of materials comprising forming and testing a substrate sheet of a library of samples, wherein the

Application/Control Number: 10/611,385

Art Unit: 1754

components are present in a concentration gradient in the shape of a triangle (Example 1).

Weinberg '297 fails to disclose a concentration gradient.

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to use a concentration gradient in the method of Weinberg because Weinberg discloses the components present in varying concentrations (column 4, lines 10-32 and Example 1).

Regarding claims 2-6, Weinberg '297 discloses the catalyst composite samples on the sheet (Example 1) and it would have been within the purview of an ordinarily skilled artisan to cut or remove the samples from the sheet as necessary, depending on the type of samples and test.

Regarding claims 7 and 9, Weinberg '297 discloses liquid samples (Example 1).

Regarding claim 8, Weinberg '297 discloses precipitates and particles (column 9, lines 45-65), which would at least suggest a slurry in the disclosed solution to an ordinarily skilled artisan.

Regarding claim 10, Weinberg discloses screening and microcontact printing (see abstract and column 11, lines 4-15).

Regarding claims 11-13, Weinberg discloses organic and inorganic catalyst libraries (see column 12, lines 38-67).

Application/Control Number: 10/611,385

Art Unit: 1754

Regarding claims 14-16, 27-28, and 37-42, Weinberg discloses porous alumina and a wide variety of materials including silica-based materials and metals (see column 9, lines 45-67), which would at least suggest cordierite to one having an ordinary skill level.

Regarding claims 17-22, 26, 29-33, and 37-42 Weinberg discloses an 11x11x11 triangle gradient (Example 1 and Figures), and it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill to determine an optimum gradient with respect to the apex thereof through routine experimentation.

Regarding claims 23-25 and 34-36, Weinberg discloses catalysts (System A).

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Edward M. Johnson whose telephone number is 571-272-1352. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 9:30-6:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Stanley S. Silverman can be reached on 571-272-1358. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Art Unit: 1754

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Edward M. Johnson Primary Examiner Art Unit 1754

EMJ